



Community Development Block Grants Small Cities

Application & Guidelines

Published January 2023



OKLAHOMA
Commerce

Important Policy Update:

The Build America Buy America Act (BABAA), enacted as part of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act on November 15, 2021, established a domestic content procurement preference for all Federal financial assistance obligated for infrastructure projects. The domestic content procurement preference requires that all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in covered infrastructure projects are produced in the United States.

BABAA will apply to all 2023 CDBG projects where the total amount of Federal financial assistance exceeds \$250,000. For more information regarding BABAA, please visit [here](#).

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| SECTION ONE: APPLICATION GUIDANCE | 2 |
| National Objective-CDBG Funds | 2 |
| Eligible Entities..... | 2 |
| Application Submission Requirements | 3 |
| Use of Funds..... | 4 |
| Project Definition..... | 4 |
| Leverage..... | 5 |
| CDBG Project Eligibility Policy..... | 5 |
| SAM.Gov Debarred / Exclusion Check Requirement..... | 6 |
| Proposal Guidance..... | 8 |
| SECTION TWO: REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION | 9 |
| 1. Application Summary and Certification (<i>OKGrants</i> – Online Completion Only) | 9 |
| 2. Detailed Line Item Budget (<i>OKGrants</i> – Online Completion Only)..... | 9 |
| 3. Consultant Form (<i>OKGrants</i> – Online Completion Only)..... | 9 |
| 4. Audit (<i>OKGrants</i> – Online Completion Only) | 9 |
| 5. Applicant Resolution (Attachment A – Upload Form to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 10 |
| 6. Citizen Participation Requirements (Attachment B – Upload Form to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 10 |
| 7. Certified Engineering Report and Professional Cost Estimates (Attachment C – Upload to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 11 |
| 8. Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report – HUD Form 2880 (Attachment D – Upload Form to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 13 |
| 9. Leverage (Attachments E-Upload Forms to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 13 |
| 10. LMI Documentation (Attachment F – Upload to <i>OKGrants</i>) As Applicable | 13 |
| 11. W-9 Form & Instructions (Attachment G – Upload Form to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 16 |
| 12. SAM.gov Exclusion / Debarment Verification (Attachment H – Upload to <i>OKGrants</i>)..... | 16 |
| SECTION THREE: THRESHOLD CRITERIA..... | 17 |

ATTACHMENTS: A – H

APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Direct Project Beneficiary Income Survey Field Worksheet**
- Appendix B: LMI Table Definitions**
- Appendix C: HUD OK LMI Percentages by County / Town / City**
- Appendix D: HUD Income Limits**

SECTION ONE: APPLICATION GUIDANCE

The purpose of this Application Guide is to provide guidance in preparing a Community Development Block Grant - State Small Cities application. **Applications should be submitted by 5:00 p.m., June 15, 2023.** Applications received after the due date will **not** be considered for funding.

Applicants are required to complete and submit their application and applicable attachments online at the following OKGrants web address: <https://grants.ok.gov>. Application Guidelines and specific program requirements can be found at the OKGrants web address. ***Applicants should review the Application Guidelines before completing the online application.***

National Objective-CDBG Funds

The primary National Objective of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program is the “development of viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment, particularly for **persons of low and moderate incomes.**”

The CDBG National Objective “benefit to low and moderate income-persons” is considered a funding PRIORITY under the State’s CDBG Program and is treated as such under the State’s individual CDBG set-asides. All project proposals submitted for funding through the State’s CDBG Program must document the achievement of the low and moderate-income National Objective as delineated in 24 CFR §570.483 (Criteria for National Objectives).

- ✓ Provide benefit to low and moderate-income persons.

Eligible Entities

Eligible applicants for the 2023 CDBG Small Cities Program are units of local government with a 2010 U.S. Census Bureau population estimate of 15,000 to 50,000 or currently are not HUD designated entitlement communities. Oklahoma’s entitlement cities are Edmond, Enid, Lawton, Midwest City, Moore, Norman, Oklahoma City, Shawnee, and Tulsa as well as the units of local government participating in the CDBG Urban County Designation for Tulsa County which consists of the following:

City of Bixby
City of Broken Arrow
City of Collinsville
City of Glenpool

City of Jenks
City of Owasso
City of Sand Springs
City of Sapulpa

City of Skiatook
Town of Sperry
Unincorporated Tulsa County

Application Submission Requirements

Based on population criteria previously stated, there are currently eighteen (18) CDBG Small Cities Communities.

| | 2022 Small Cities Units of Local Governments |
|-----|--|
| 1. | Ada |
| 2. | Altus |
| 3. | Ardmore |
| 4. | Bartlesville |
| 5. | Bethany |
| 6. | Chickasha |
| 7. | Claremore |
| 8. | Del City |
| 9. | Duncan |
| 10. | Durant |
| 11. | El Reno |
| 12. | McAlester |
| 13. | Muskogee |
| 14. | Mustang |
| 15. | Ponca City |
| 16. | Stillwater |
| 17. | Tahlequah |
| 18. | Yukon |

The eighteen (18) eligible units of local governments continued to be divided into two groups of nine (9) for purposes of funding. Those communities that elected to participate in the 2022 Small cities program through a Letter of Intent and their Application Status from the 2022 CDBG Small Cities Program Review is listed in the table below.

| 2022 Small Cities Communities | Awards |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Ada | Funded |
| 2. Altus | Funded |
| 3. Ardmore | Funded |
| 4. Bethany | Funded |
| 5. Chickasha | Funded |
| 6. Durant | Declined Participation |
| 7. Mustang | Declined Participation |
| 8. Ponca City | Funded |
| 9. Tahlequah | Funded |

The total amount of funding available for the 2023 Small Cities program is \$1,370,000. The funds will be allocated evenly among the proposed nine (9) eligible participating communities listed in the below table.

| 2023 Small Cities Eligible Communities |
|--|
| 1. Bartlesville |
| 2. Claremore |
| 3. Del City |
| 4. Duncan |
| 5. El Reno |
| 6. McAlester |
| 7. Muskogee |
| 8. Stillwater |
| 9. Yukon |

Participation in the 2023 Small Cities Program is determined by submission of a Letter of Intent due by 5:00 p.m. on or before December 9, 2022. Additionally, the 2023 CDBG Small Cities Application Submission Due Date is June 15, 2023.

Note: For those communities that choose not to participate in the 2023 CDBG Small Cities Program, Oklahoma Department of Commerce/Community Development (ODOC/CD) will recalculate and distribute the allocation based on **participants only**.

Additionally, each eligible CDBG Small Cities unit of local governments has the option to not participate in the 2023 CDBG Small Cities Program and choose to compete in the appropriate CDBG set asides.

Use of Funds

The CDBG Small Cities Program funds a broad array of projects and activities listed in Section 105(a) of the Federal Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. Such projects could include but are not limited to the following:

- Water and wastewater system improvements
- Fire protection
- Streets
- Senior citizen centers
- Gas and electrical system improvements
- Removal of architectural barriers associated with handicapped areas
- Storm water drainage improvements

Project Definition

Under the State's CDBG Small Cities program, a Small Cities community may request up to three (3) activities under one single application. However, of the three (3) activities requested only one can be a construction type activity and the remaining two (2) can be a service type activity that is eligible under one application request. If you are unsure of the CDBG Small Cities activity combination or single activity being eligible, contact ODOC/CD before applying.

Leverage

The State's CDBG Program requires that all leveraged CDBG project funding be in place at the time of the application submission. There are several reasons for this. **First**, the HUD based CDBG Program imposes program specific obligation and expenditure requirements. Most importantly, an applicant may simply not receive the leveraged funding as intended. This wastes valuable CDBG program time and requires additional ODOC/CD staff time to de-obligate the contract and reallocate the contract funding to another eligible CDBG project. **Second**, applicants without secured leverage funding at the time of the application submission would require additional time for securing the funds adding time to the overall project completion timeline. **Third**, there is no foreseeable way to impose an additional timeframe for the allowance to secure leveraged funding that would be fair to all applicants given the varied types of outside funding available.

CDBG Project Eligibility Policy

Prospective CDBG Program applicants are strongly encouraged to review (24 CFR 570 Subparts A, C, I, K, and O) of the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (<https://ecfr.io/Title-24>) for additional regulatory CDBG Program guidance as related to State CDBG Programs. The Housing and Community Development Act Section 105(a) and 24 CFR 570.482 defines eligible activities under the State CDBG Program. While some regulatory language is direct, there are instances where more clarity is needed.

It is the policy of ODOC/CD that should a prospective CDBG Program applicant request a CDBG project/activity that does NOT appear directly eligible and cannot be immediately determined as Ineligible as interpreted from CDBG regulatory guidance and ODOC/CD staff that the applicant can formally request ODOC/CD to seek a final determination from HUD officials.

- a. The prospective applicant will be required to submit a project/activity narrative regarding the requested activity. This will be required by HUD to conduct their review and make a determination. It is the responsibility of the prospective applicant to provide the narrative. The submission of the narrative to ODOC/CD will be seen by as the formal request to seek a final determination from HUD.
- b. Once a detailed project narrative is received by ODOC/CD, it will be submitted to the Oklahoma City HUD office for a final eligibility determination.

Prospective applicants are advised to seek project/activity guidance as soon as possible from ODOC/CD if they have eligibility concerns. Prospective applicants should be aware that final CDBG regulatory and program guidance can take several months to receive from HUD. ODOC/CD understands that prospective applicants are subject to CDBG application deadlines; however, in absence of a final project/activity determination from HUD, ODOC CD cannot allow an award to any requested CDBG project/activity that cannot be determined to be directly CDBG eligible. If the project/activity eligibility determination hasn't been received from HUD by the CDBG application deadline, the application will receive a FAIL threshold rating. Applicants are advised to consider that a determination may not be received by the CDBG application submission date.

SAM.Gov Debarred / Exclusion Check Requirement

The System for Award Management (SAM.gov) is an official website of the U.S. government. ***You must have an active registration in SAM.gov to do business with the Federal Government.*** There is no cost to use SAM.gov. The General Services Administration (GSA) is required by the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to compile and maintain a list of parties debarred, suspended, or disqualified by federal agencies in SAM.gov. Units of General Local Government (UGLG's), contractors as well as recipients of federal financial assistance must be registered at SAM.gov. Active registration in SAM.gov is required to apply for an award and for HUD to make a payment. ODOC/CD is required by HUD to check UGLG grantees' debarment/exclusion status in the federal SAM database and place a record on file. You can use this site for FREE to:

- Register to do business with the U.S. government
- Update or renew your entity registration
- Check status of an entity registration
- Search for entity registration and exclusion records
- Search for assistance listings (formerly CFDA.gov), wage determinations (formerly WDOL.gov), contract opportunities (formerly FBO.gov), and contract data reports (formerly part of FPDS.gov)

Per the SAM.gov User Guide, the No Active Exclusions field on the SAM.gov Entity summary indicates whether the entity has a current debarment. SAM.gov will check the exclusions list for the Unique Identify Identifier Number (UEI#) of your entity and indicate whether any exclusion records exist. If an active exclusion record exists for your entity, this question will default to "Yes," meaning that the contractor is debarred. No Record Found means that the entity is not registered or has let its registration lapse. The entity should ensure that the email address is current in SAM.gov so that when automated reminders are sent to renew registration each year that this reminder does not go into spam due to an obsolete email address.

Get started with a SAM.gov registration and the Unique Entity Identifier Number: If you have not yet started your registration, please create an individual user account and log into SAM.gov to register your entity. To register in SAM.gov, at a minimum, you will need the following information:

U.S. REGISTRANTS:

1. Legal Business Name, and Physical Address (A post office box may not be used as your physical address).).
2. Your Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) and Taxpayer Name associated with your TIN. Review your tax documents from the IRS (such as a 1099 or W-2 form) to find your Taxpayer Name.
3. Your bank's routing number, your bank account number, and your bank account type, i.e. checking or savings, to set up Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT).

4. The following link provides a checklist to help assist with registration: https://iae-prd-videos.s3.amazonaws.com/pdf/entity-checklist.pdf?X-Amz-Algorithm=AWS4-HMAC-SHA256&X-Amz-Date=20221004T060855Z&X-Amz-SignedHeaders=host&X-Amz-Expires=86399&X-Amz-Credential=AKIAY3LPYEEX3RP4EDU2%2F20221004%2Fus-east-1%2Fs3%2Faws4_request&X-Amz-Signature=0fc6a75c2668304dd69e319b929caa69430abd7abe88ef0b83f0d73a3d461980

Refer to the following website [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov) | [Home](#) for the applicable options provided for your entity. If you have already registered, check your status by clicking on “Check Registration Status” and logging in with your username and password; then provide your UEI Number or CAGE Code. You will need to download the information provided by the site by clicking on the Download heading toward the top of the page which creates an electronic pdf copy of the document that will need to be saved for your records. That pdf will be required to be uploaded into OKGrants with the status that shows that the UGLG has an Active status and has NO ACTIVE EXCLUSIONS.

You must renew your registration every 365 days to keep it active. You can make updates to your registration anytime or during renewal.

Proposal Guidance Assistance

Applicants are encouraged to contact the staff persons listed below anytime they are uncertain with regard to Program requirements, project conceptualization, or any portion of the Application Packet and/or Guidelines.

Karen Adair, 405-764-5164

Email: Karen.Adair@okcommerce.gov

SECTION TWO: REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION

The following discussion provides detailed guidance regarding the different components needed to submit a complete CDBG - Small Cities Application. Please note that the documentation in items One (1) through Four (4) can only be completed online at the OKGRANTS' website. Items Five (5) through Twelve (12) are attachments that are required to be completed and then electronically uploaded via the internet to the OKGRANTS' website.

1. Application Summary and Certification (OKGrants – Online Completion Only)

This form requests general information about the applicant. The form must be completed in full. The certification attests to the accuracy and completeness of the application.

2. Detailed Line Item Budget (OKGrants – Online Completion Only)

The proposed activities should be as specific as possible as they will provide the basis of your contract and project budget should you receive funding.

As referenced in (24 CFR 570.201-204) and (CPD Notice 13-07), costs incurred as a direct result of implementing and executing eligible CDBG activities are known as "Activity Delivery Costs". These costs are directly attributable to an eligible CDBG project activity. Under the State's CDBG Small Cities set-aside, Activity Delivery Cost may be used as leverage.

3. Consultant Form (OKGrants – Online Completion Only)

4. Audit (OKGrants – Online Completion Only)

TOWNS AND CITIES (COUNTIES ARE EXEMPT) MUST SUBMIT A COPY OF THEIR AUDIT OR THE AGREED UPON PROCEDURES BY THE APPLICATION DEADLINE. ALL TOWNS AND CITIES THAT HAVE A JUNE 30, FISCAL YEAR END DATE ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A COPY OF THEIR FY 2022 AUDIT AND TOWNS AND CITIES THAT HAVE A DECEMBER 31, FISCAL YEAR END DATE ARE REQUIRED, AS A MINIMUM, TO SUBMIT A COPY OF THEIR FY 2021 AUDIT. NO APPLICATION WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR REVIEW OR FUNDING THAT DOES NOT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS STATED ABOVE.

An audit is required to be uploaded as part of the application process. However, the audit may also be submitted via postal mail to:

Oklahoma Department of Commerce
Administrative Services
Attn: Sharmin Rahman
900 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73104

General audit inquiries and questions regarding audit submissions should be directed to Sharmin Rahman at the Oklahoma Department of Commerce.

Sharmin Rahman, 800-879-6552, ext. 5312 or 405-815-5312
(sharmin.rahman@okcommerce.gov)

5. Applicant Resolution (**Attachment A – Upload Form to OKGrants**)

Applications must include a resolution passed by the current governing body requesting that particular assistance. The Resolution is required to be uploaded as part of the application process. A sample resolution is provided in Attachment “A” of the application.

6. Citizen Participation Requirements (**Attachment B – Upload Form to OKGrants**)

All applicants, in order to apply for CDBG Small Cities assistance, must complete and document the following requirements: (1) create and adopt a Written Citizen Participation Plan and (2) hold an application phase public hearing regarding the CDBG Small Cities Program.

Citizen Participation Plans must be officially adopted and followed by all applicants and submitted as Attachment “B” in the application. Re-stating the Citizen Participation Plan outline requirements does not constitute a valid plan. Applicants must clearly state the actions they will undertake to meet the requirements of Written Citizen Participation Plans. (Sample Citizen Participation Plan can be found in the Attachment “B” of the application.) Applicants may readopt prior years Citizen Participation Plans provided that the previous plan has been reviewed and updated as needed to adequately support the proposed project.

Documentation of the “application phase” Public Hearing is also an application requirement. Acceptable documentation consists only of the **Affidavit of Publication**,

ODOC/CD requires that no less than seven (7) working days be given as advance notice of the application phase Public Hearing or any subsequent public meetings held for the purpose of discussing project activities as they relate to the CDBG Small Cities grant funds.

All written Citizen Participation Plans must explain how an applicant unit of local government will:

1. Provide for and encourage citizen participation, particularly by low and moderate-income persons who reside in areas where CDBG Small Cities funds are proposed to be used.
2. Ensure citizens will be given reasonable and timely access to local meetings, information and records relating to the unit of local government's proposed and actual use of the CDBG Small Cities funds, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) The amount of CDBG Small Cities funds expected to be made available for the current fiscal year if the project is approved;
 - (b) The range of activities that may be undertaken with CDBG Small Cities funds;
 - (c) The estimated amount of CDBG Small Cities funds proposed to be used for activities that will meet the National Objective of benefit to low and moderate-income persons;
 - (d) The proposed CDBG Small Cities activities likely to result in displacement and the sponsoring unit of local government's anti-displacement and relocation plans developed in accordance with Section 104(d)(1) and (2) of the Act; and
 - (e) The basis on which the sponsoring unit of local government may provide technical assistance to groups' representative of persons of low and moderate-income that request assistance in developing proposals. The level and type of assistance to be provided are at the discretion of the sponsoring unit of local government. Such assistance need not include providing funds to such groups.

-
3. Provide for a minimum of two (2) public hearings; one prior to submission of the application for funding of the project that favors the purpose of obtaining citizens' views and formulating or responding to proposals and questions; the other at the end of the grant period, if the applicant receives funding, that discusses Grantee performance. There must be reasonable notice of the public hearings (ODOC/CD requires seven (7) working days, and they must be held at times and locations convenient to potential or actual beneficiaries, with accommodations for persons with disabilities.
 4. Meet the needs of residents with limited English proficiency (LEP) in the case of public hearings where a significant number of LEP residents can reasonably be expected to participate.
 5. Provide citizens with reasonable advance notice (ODOC/CD requires seven (7) working days, and the opportunity to comment on proposed activities not previously described in a unit of local government's funding request and activities which are proposed to be deleted or substantially changed in terms of purpose, scope, location or beneficiaries. Substantially changed means changes made in terms of purpose, scope, location or beneficiaries.
 6. Provide the place, phone numbers, and times where and when citizens are able to communicate when submitting written complaints and grievances; the process the unit of local government will use to provide for a timely written answer to written complaints and grievances, within fifteen (15) working days where practicable.
 7. The application phase public hearing must, at a minimum, address the following topics:
 - (a) Community development and housing needs;
 - (b) CDBG Small Cities Program purpose, i.e. meet the low and moderate income National Objective;
 - (c) The development of proposed activities.
 8. The Citizen Participation Plan is required to be signed and dated by the chief elected official. The Citizen Participation Plan also requires a Town/City/County seal, or it must be notarized in lieu of the seal.

7. Certified Engineering Report and Professional Cost Estimates (Attachment C – Upload to OKGrants)

Water and wastewater projects must have certified cost estimates from a professional engineer licensed to work in Oklahoma. For other types of projects, professional cost estimates may be derived from architects, engineers, vendors, construction companies, or appropriate personnel to make such estimates.

The following should be used as a guide for the preparation of the Professional Engineering Report

A. General

1. Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) should briefly but clearly describe the following:
 - The present situation
 - An analysis of alternatives
 - The proposed course of action

B. Project Planning Area

1. Furnish a map that shows the existing service area or municipal boundaries.
2. When applicable, show a map of new service areas or annexed areas that are to be served by the project.

C. Existing Facilities

1. Evaluate the condition and suitability for continued use. For example, you may want to discuss cost of rehabilitation versus replacement or cost of Operation & Maintenance.
2. Adequacy of the facilities such as pumping capacities, treatment capacities, and storage capacities during the useful life of the Project.

D. Proposed Facilities

Provide brief but clear descriptions of proposed facilities

1. Water Systems

- a. Sources: Identify sources of supply and provide comparisons of each (quantity and quality).
- b. Treatment: Compare alternatives considered.
- c. Storage: Discuss type of tank and tank materials.
- d. Distribution System: Give line lengths and diameter, and other key features such as valves.
- e. Pumping Stations: Describe pump type including pumping capacity (GPM).

2. Wastewater Systems

- a. Collection Mains: Include information on rehabilitation of manholes, cleanout, wastewater mains, etc., when applicable. If wastewater mains are extended, briefly address reserve capacity of existing mains and treatment facilities.
- b. Lift Stations: Briefly describe type of construction, pumps, emergency operations, and force main.
- c. Treatment: Discuss alternative if applicable.

3. Land

If applicable, discuss amount required, location, etc.

4. Rights

If applicable discuss easements, permits, and right-of-ways

E. Cost Estimate

- a. Construction
- b. Land Cost
- c. Engineering Cost
- d. Inspection Cost
- e. Legal Fees

8. Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report – HUD Form 2880 (Attachment D – Upload Form to OKGrants)

This form must be completed if the minimum requirements of the disclosure are met and certified by the interested parties as set forth in the disclosure. The Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report is required to be uploaded as part of the application process.

9. Leverage (Attachments E-Upload Forms to OKGrants)

Leverage is required at a 1:1 ratio and must be project specific and in place at the time of application. Leverage may consist only of the following: Cash from the applicant or other federal and state grants and loans or fair market value of land, buildings, or materials portion of infrastructure improvements directly related to the project and purchased specifically for the project. In-kind contributions are not an eligible form of leverage. However, reasonable Activity Delivery Costs may be allowed with ODOC/CD approval.

In addition to completing and uploading Attachment E, documentation supporting the leverage commitment is required which will substantiate the availability of the funding. When leverage is in the form of cash from the Unit of General Local Government, applicants are advised to submit a formal signed letter from the chief elected official stating the amount of leverage available, source of leverage, and that the leverage is committed to the CDBG Small Cities project. When leverage is in the form of a grant or loan, an applicant will need to submit the appropriate documentation testifying that the grant has been awarded or loan has been approved.

10. LMI Documentation (Attachment F – Upload to OKGrants) As Applicable

The following are mandatory uploads for those applicants conducting Random Sample Income Surveys:

- a. LMI Beneficiary Summary Form – (Blank Copy Attachment F) *NOTE: The LMI Beneficiary Summary Form summarizes the uploaded Field Survey Sheets on one form and is required to be completely filled out to include: Town/City/Target Area, County and Survey Date*
- b. Random Number Table
- c. Survey Map
- d. Copy of the Original LMI Field Survey Sheets

CDBG Small Cities applicants must qualify their project activities under the low and moderate-income National Objective.

(a) Qualifying under the National Objective of Benefit to Low and Moderate Income Person.

To qualify for CDBG Small Cities funding under the National Objective of benefit to low and moderate income persons, the proposed project activities must show a positive or general improvement of living condition in a definable geographic target area where at least 51% of the occupied households/homes are of low and moderate income families. Low- and moderate-income families have an income equal to or less than the current Section 8 low-income limits established by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), (Appendix D of this guidance document).

Each activity proposed for funding with CDBG Small Cities dollars claiming the National Objective of benefit to low- and moderate-income persons, must provide data indicating the percentage of low- and moderate-income beneficiaries.

Various questions must be answered before determining whether or not an activity proposed for CDBG Small Cities funding provides benefit to principally low and moderate-income families. Questions to be answered include: (1) How does the proposed activity serve the residents of the geographic target area in which it is taking place? (2) Which occupied households/homes within the geographic target area are directly affected or impacted by the proposed activity? (3) Is there a larger set of households/homes that will be served by the proposed activity?

Once the beneficiaries for each activity proposed for funding with CDBG Small Cities dollars have been identified, the next step is to document the percentage of low and moderate-income households/homes that will benefit from each of those activities. This is best accomplished by performing an income survey in order to determine family incomes.

However, in some very limited cases, Census data may be used to document the percentage of low and moderate-income families receiving benefit. However, the use of any Census data to document the percentage of low and moderate-income beneficiaries for any CDBG Small Cities funded activity requires prior ODOC/CD review and approval. Any applicant intending to use Census data to document the project's percentage of low and moderate-income beneficiaries must contact the ODOC/CD CDBG Planner via email thirty (30) days PRIOR to the application's submission deadline. The use of Census data has several HUD mandated procedural requirements and is subject to various use related restrictions. These requirements and restrictions are best directly discussed with the ODOC/CD CDBG Program Planner in order to receive the proper guidance on meeting HUD Census based compliance requirements. **An applicant's failure to obtain prior ODOC/CD review and approval of the use of Census data in order to qualify the proposed CDBG project may result in rejection of data's conclusions.**

Please note that if the available Census data geographies do not reasonably correspond to the service area, it will not be appropriate to use Census data to qualify a low and moderate-income activity. Applicants will then be required to conduct a targeted local income survey in order to determine low and moderate-income compliance for the specific service area.

Applicants may not prorate the Census data when a given service area includes a portion of a geography from published datasets. The low and moderate-income determination shall be made based on the entirety of the data of the census geography which the service area both completely encloses and significantly overlaps.

Income survey techniques consist of door-to-door surveys, telephone surveys, mail surveys, or any combination of the three. Regardless of the technique used, an applicant must be able to link each income response to a specific family within a specific household/home. Blind survey techniques that cannot match households/homes and their respective family incomes will not be accepted, as they do not allow for verification should it become necessary.

For all FY 2023 income surveys, only the Random Sample Survey methodology will be accepted by ODOC/CD. ODOC/CD will accept income surveys that have been conducted within the previous five (5) program years (FY 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, or 2022). However, to be eligible for previous program years' surveys, the applicant will be responsible for providing copies of the original Field Survey Summary Sheet, Survey Field Sheets, Random # Table, and Survey Map.

(b) Conducting an Income Survey

For those applicants conducting Random Sample Income Surveys, a sample Field Survey Form can be found in Appendix “A” of this guidance document. All applicants are required to complete the Direct Project Beneficiary Income Survey Summary located in the online application at OKGrants. Additionally, the following LMI documentation is required to be uploaded the Application “UPLOADS” section of OKGrants:

1. Upload a copy of the Random Number Table that was generated by ODOC/CD to perform the survey.
2. Upload a color-coded map that identifies each surveyed home’s income status (above or below low and moderate-income status); the location of all proposed CDBG and leverage activities, and the central business district.
3. Upload all scanned copies of the original Direct Beneficiary Income Field Survey sheets.
4. Upload a copy of the LMI Field Survey Summary sheet. (A blank copy of this form is located in Attachment F) *NOTE: The LMI Field Survey Summary Sheet summarizes the uploaded Field Survey Sheets on one form and is required to be completely filled out to include: Town/City/Target Area, County, and Survey Date.*

An overview of the Random Sample Survey procedures is provided below.

- Step 1.** List by name and address, the number of households within the geographic area to be covered by the project (for example, the following listings may be used to identify the number of households within your universe: water billing, wastewater billing, solid waste billing, 911 emergency service databases, or in the case of a county, the voting rolls).
- Step 2.** Alphabetize your universe by last name (all households); or list in ascending or descending order by account numbers. This establishes a random distribution for your universe.
- Step 3.** Number all households composing your universe.
- Step 4.** Based on the number of households provided, a random survey sample will be generated by ODOC/CD and provided to the applicant along with the required response rate that must be achieved.
- Step 5.** Match the random sampling numbers to your numbered household universe and survey those locations. For example, if the random sample provided by ODOC/CD is “#47”, match that number 47, to the 47th entry on your numbered universe and survey that location. Continue to survey until you have achieved the required response rate. Do not over survey.
- Step 6.** Survey using the “Direct Project Beneficiary Income Survey Field Worksheet” provided in the appendix to this application packet (these working papers are to be retained with the permanent records of the unit of local government) and the low-income figures for your county (provided in Appendix A).
- Step 7.** Complete and upload Attachment LMI Beneficiary Income Summary Form in your OKGrants application Upload Section.

The table below provides the sample size of households that must be surveyed compared to the number of households identified in the target area. For example: If you had 230 occupied households in the target area you would need to survey 150 occupied households.

Required Sample Sizes for Universes of Various Sizes:

| Number of Occupied Households in Target Area | Survey Sample Size |
|--|--|
| 1 - 55 | 50 or all if less than 50 households in universe |
| 56 - 63 | 55 |
| 64 - 70 | 60 |
| 71 - 77 | 65 |
| 78 - 87 | 70 |
| 88 - 99 | 80 |
| 100 - 115 | 90 |
| 116 - 138 | 100 |
| 139 - 153 | 110 |
| 154 - 180 | 125 |
| 181 - 238 | 150 |
| 239 - 308 | 175 |
| 309 - 398 | 200 |
| 399 - 650 | 250 |
| 651 - 1,200 | 300 |
| 1,201 - 2,700 | 350 |
| 2,701 or more | 400 |

The results of the Random Survey **must be clearly shown on a map** that identifies each surveyed home with the low and moderate-income homes designated with a distinct color. Applicants performing income surveys are cautioned that incorrectly administered surveys or their resulting data regarding low and moderate-income percentage claims will be rejected. **Failure to include a properly marked map with the application will result in rejection and return of the application.**

Determining the beneficiaries and performing a survey for any activity under any project category is critical. ODOC/CD guidance regarding beneficiaries and surveys is well advised. Therefore, all applicants should take the time to discuss their project activities and surveys with ODOC/CD staff before performing their survey(s).

11. W-9 Form & Instructions (Attachment G – Upload Form to OKGrants)

The W-9 form (Taxpayer Identification Number & Certification) is required to be filled out in full and uploaded as part of the application process.

12. SAM.gov Exclusion / Debarment Verification (Attachment H – Upload to OKGrants)

SECTION THREE: THRESHOLD CRITERIA

Under this broad category, an applicant may submit a project proposal for any eligible activity listed under Section 105(a) of the Federal Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and 24 CFR Part 570 as applicable.

1. The CDBG Small Cities project must include leverage at 1:1. Proposed leverage must be **directly** related to the proposed CDBG Small Cities project. For example, local funds being utilized in one section of town would not be considered as leveraging the CDBG Small Cities funds if the CDBG Small Cities funds were being utilized in a different section of town. In-kind is not an eligible source of leverage.
2. As referenced in (24 CFR 570.201-204) and (CPD Notice 13-07), costs incurred as a direct result of implementing and executing eligible CDBG activities are known as “Activity Delivery Costs”. These costs are directly attributable to an eligible CDBG project activity. Project activity delivery costs are **not eligible** from CDBG Small Cities funds; however, leverage can include reasonable project activity delivery costs.
3. If an eligible community is applying in the CDBG Small Cities set-aside, that community cannot apply for any other CDBG set-aside during the FY 2021 program year.
4. The project must achieve a National Objective. CDBG Small Cities applicants must qualify their project activities under the low and moderate-income National Objective. This is accomplished by conducting a random sample income survey in the project target area. However, the use of any Census Data to document the percentage of low and moderate-income beneficiaries for any CDBG funded activity should receive prior ODOC review and approval.
5. Each community must have an updated Citizen Participation Plan and conduct one public hearing before the application is submitted. Acceptable documentation of the Public Hearing is an Affidavit of Publication.
6. Communities participating in the CDBG Small Cities set-aside must have a Letter of Intent submitted to the Oklahoma Department of Commerce/Community Development (ODOC/CD) by 5:00 p.m., December 9, 2022.
7. Specific projects identified in the application must have cost estimates derived from professional sources. Water and wastewater projects must have certified cost estimates from a professional engineer licensed to work in Oklahoma. For other types of projects professional cost estimates may be derived from architects, engineers, vendors, construction companies, or appropriate personnel to make such estimates.
8. Applications must include a Resolution passed by the current governing body requesting the particular assistance.
9. Grant Request cannot exceed \$2,000 per Beneficiary for all projects.

-
10. Cities must submit a copy of their Audit by the application deadline. All Cities that have a June 30, Fiscal Year End date are required to submit a copy of their FY 2022 Audit. Cities that have a December 31, Fiscal Year End date are required, as a minimum, to submit a copy of their FY 2021 Audit. **NO APPLICATION WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR REVIEW OR FUNDING THAT DOES NOT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS STATED ABOVE.**
 11. The application must sufficiently demonstrate an applicant's need for the requested CDBG activity. For any requested CDBG project, there is an underlying "need" for such a project. It is the responsibility of the applicant to communicate to ODOC/CD why the particular CDBG activity is being requested in the application. Failure to adequately demonstrate a project need will result in a FAILED application. The project need is communicated in the "Project Description" section of the "CDBG Application Summary" page of the online OKGrants application. Additional narratives and supporting documentation can be uploaded in the "Uploads" page of the OKGrants application if required.
 12. The Application Guidelines associated with this CDBG Small Cities set-aside contain an APPLICATION FORMS CHECKLIST outlining all application related documentation required to be uploaded into the online OKGrants application. It is the Applicant's responsibility to follow this checklist and seek additional guidance from ODOC/CD staff as required. Applicants will be responsible for following and providing each item listed on the checklist. Failure to provide any of the required application documentation listed on the checklist will result in a FAILED application. Additionally, Applicants must provide a response to all application questions and satisfy all documentation requirements delineated in the CDBG Small Cities Application Guidelines and online OKGrants Application System including, but not limited to: Resolution, Income Survey results, Resolution requesting assistance, Survey Maps, Certifications, HUD Form 288 Applicant Recipient Disclosure Update Report project budget, etc.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)

DIRECT PROJECT BENEFICIARY INCOME SURVEY FIELD SHEET

Activity / Project: _____

Town / City or Target Area: _____ County: _____

Name & Title of Surveyor: _____ Survey Date: _____

[illegible][illegible]

APPENDIX B

LOW AND MODERATE INCOME LIMITS AND TABLE

The following definitions should be useful in the determination of a CDBG project's benefit to low and moderate income households.

Households - Household is defined as all persons who occupy a housing unit. The occupants may be a single-family, one person living alone, two (2) or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated persons who share living arrangements.

Low and Moderate Income Household - Low and moderate income household is defined as a household having an income equal to or less than the current Section 8 lower income limits established by HUD (please refer to the attached HUD Section 8 income limits).

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (COUNTY)

Source: HUD Exchange Website - <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/acs-low-mod-summary-data/acs-low-mod-summary-data-local-government/>

| COUNTY | LOWMOD | LOWMODUNIV | LOWMOD_PCT |
|-------------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Adair County | 11,668 | 22,120 | 52.75% |
| Alfalfa County | 1,933 | 4,724 | 40.92% |
| Atoka County | 6,005 | 12,465 | 48.17% |
| Beaver County | 2,145 | 5,445 | 39.39% |
| Beckham County | 9,835 | 21,610 | 45.51% |
| Blaine County | 3,110 | 8,070 | 38.54% |
| Bryan County | 19,145 | 42,885 | 44.64% |
| Caddo County | 12,690 | 28,240 | 44.94% |
| Canadian County | 38,135 | 124,115 | 30.73% |
| Carter County | 20,630 | 47,645 | 43.30% |
| Cherokee County | 20,859 | 45,805 | 45.54% |
| Choctaw County | 7,840 | 14,935 | 52.49% |
| Cimarron County | 985 | 2,320 | 42.46% |
| Cleveland County | 97,405 | 257,100 | 37.89% |
| Coal County | 2,719 | 5,745 | 47.33% |
| Comanche County | 49,885 | 116,230 | 42.92% |
| Cotton County | 2,615 | 6,010 | 43.51% |
| Craig County | 6,265 | 13,670 | 45.83% |
| Creek County | 32,080 | 69,835 | 45.94% |
| Custer County | 10,995 | 27,290 | 40.29% |
| Delaware County | 19,289 | 40,945 | 47.11% |
| Dewey County | 2,024 | 4,760 | 42.52% |
| Ellis County | 1,730 | 4,070 | 42.51% |
| Garfield County | 24,537 | 60,440 | 40.60% |
| Garvin County | 11,580 | 27,095 | 42.74% |
| Grady County | 20,395 | 52,900 | 38.55% |
| Grant County | 1,619 | 4,445 | 36.42% |
| Greer County | 2,145 | 5,020 | 42.73% |
| Harmon County | 1,345 | 2,760 | 48.73% |
| Harper County | 1,460 | 3,715 | 39.30% |
| Haskell County | 6,005 | 12,760 | 47.06% |
| Hughes County | 5,610 | 12,020 | 46.67% |
| Jackson County | 11,315 | 25,345 | 44.64% |
| Jefferson County | 3,070 | 6,170 | 49.76% |
| Johnston County | 4,800 | 10,760 | 44.61% |
| Kay County | 18,925 | 44,615 | 42.42% |
| Kingfisher County | 5,350 | 15,140 | 35.34% |
| Kiowa County | 4,040 | 9,105 | 44.37% |
| Latimer County | 4,190 | 10,355 | 40.46% |
| Le Flore County | 20,580 | 48,445 | 42.48% |
| Lincoln County | 13,399 | 34,100 | 39.29% |
| Logan County | 15,385 | 42,735 | 36.00% |
| Love County | 3,500 | 9,550 | 36.65% |
| McClain County | 12,885 | 36,270 | 35.53% |
| McCurtain County | 17,100 | 32,680 | 52.33% |
| McIntosh County | 9,185 | 19,920 | 46.11% |
| Major County | 2,730 | 7,590 | 35.97% |
| Marshall County | 6,890 | 15,745 | 43.76% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (COUNTY)

| | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Mayes County | 16,855 | 40,340 | 41.78% |
| Murray County | 5,485 | 13,355 | 41.07% |
| Muskogee County | 30,765 | 66,560 | 46.22% |
| Noble County | 4,220 | 11,255 | 37.49% |
| Nowata County | 4,390 | 10,350 | 42.42% |
| Okfuskee County | 5,390 | 11,010 | 48.96% |
| Oklahoma County | 348,479 | 738,670 | 47.18% |
| Okmulgee County | 17,500 | 38,025 | 46.02% |
| Osage County | 20,504 | 46,594 | 44.01% |
| Ottawa County | 15,335 | 31,060 | 49.37% |
| Pawnee County | 6,245 | 16,275 | 38.37% |
| Payne County | 34,070 | 71,290 | 47.79% |
| Pittsburg County | 17,374 | 42,730 | 40.66% |
| Pontotoc County | 15,575 | 36,705 | 42.43% |
| Pottawatomie County | 26,470 | 67,800 | 39.04% |
| Pushmataha County | 5,855 | 11,105 | 52.72% |
| Roger Mills County | 1,373 | 3,755 | 36.56% |
| Rogers County | 30,175 | 87,990 | 34.29% |
| Seminole County | 11,865 | 24,835 | 47.78% |
| Sequoyah County | 19,410 | 41,090 | 47.24% |
| Stephens County | 17,614 | 44,235 | 39.82% |
| Texas County | 8,090 | 21,035 | 38.46% |
| Tillman County | 3,505 | 7,354 | 47.66% |
| Tulsa County | 260,900 | 615,010 | 42.42% |
| Wagoner County | 28,260 | 75,085 | 37.64% |
| Washington County | 20,840 | 50,980 | 40.88% |
| Washita County | 4,475 | 11,430 | 39.15% |
| Woods County | 3,630 | 8,125 | 44.68% |
| Woodward County | 8,110 | 19,870 | 40.82% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

Source: HUD Exchange Website - <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/acs-low-mod-summary-data/acs-low-mod-summary-data-local-government/>

| TOWN / CITY | LOWMOD | LOWMODUNIV | LOWMOD_PCT |
|-------------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Achille town | 200 | 465 | 43.01% |
| Ada city | 8,210 | 15,985 | 51.36% |
| Adair town | 375 | 870 | 43.10% |
| Addington town | 40 | 100 | 40.00% |
| Afton town | 685 | 1,065 | 64.32% |
| Agra town | 180 | 300 | 60.00% |
| Akins CDP | 335 | 610 | 54.92% |
| Albany CDP | 35 | 100 | 35.00% |
| Albion town | 40 | 60 | 66.67% |
| Alderson town | 145 | 310 | 46.77% |
| Alex town | 300 | 535 | 56.07% |
| Aline town | 80 | 245 | 32.65% |
| Allen town | 400 | 785 | 50.96% |
| Altus city | 9,225 | 18,835 | 48.98% |
| Alva city | 2,485 | 4,745 | 52.37% |
| Amber town | 165 | 370 | 44.59% |
| Ames town | 100 | 240 | 41.67% |
| Amorita town | 4 | 4 | 100.00% |
| Anadarko city | 3,920 | 6,590 | 59.48% |
| Antlers city | 1,580 | 2,350 | 67.23% |
| Apache town | 720 | 1,280 | 56.25% |
| Arapaho town | 165 | 425 | 38.82% |
| Arcadia town | 110 | 175 | 62.86% |
| Ardmore city | 11,160 | 24,260 | 46.00% |
| Arkoma town | 1,065 | 1,865 | 57.10% |
| Armstrong town | 35 | 185 | 18.92% |
| Arnett town | 205 | 490 | 41.84% |
| Arpelar CDP | 95 | 280 | 33.93% |
| Asher town | 265 | 415 | 63.86% |
| Ashland town | 20 | 40 | 50.00% |
| Atoka city | 1,905 | 2,975 | 64.03% |
| Atwood town | 25 | 45 | 55.56% |
| Avant town | 215 | 345 | 62.32% |
| Badger Lee CDP | 95 | 95 | 100.00% |
| Ballou CDP | 85 | 105 | 80.95% |
| Barnsdall city | 530 | 1,055 | 50.24% |
| Bartlesville city | 14,955 | 35,710 | 41.88% |
| Bearden town | 65 | 140 | 46.43% |
| Beaver town | 550 | 1,515 | 36.30% |
| Bee CDP | 75 | 135 | 55.56% |
| Beggs city | 610 | 1,090 | 55.96% |
| Belfonte CDP | 175 | 270 | 64.81% |
| Bell CDP | 355 | 445 | 79.78% |
| Bennington town | 215 | 315 | 68.25% |
| Bernice town | 300 | 520 | 57.69% |
| Bessie town | 75 | 165 | 45.45% |
| Bethany city | 8,710 | 18,795 | 46.34% |
| Bethel Acres town | 660 | 2,940 | 22.45% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Big Cabin town | 130 | 260 | 50.00% |
| Billings town | 225 | 360 | 62.50% |
| Binger town | 225 | 545 | 41.28% |
| Bison CDP | 30 | 65 | 46.15% |
| Bixby city | 5,325 | 23,120 | 23.03% |
| Blackburn town | 40 | 75 | 53.33% |
| Blackgum CDP | 60 | 60 | 100.00% |
| Blackwell city | 3,215 | 6,850 | 46.93% |
| Blair town | 335 | 665 | 50.38% |
| Blanchard city | 2,660 | 7,960 | 33.42% |
| Blanco CDP | 45 | 125 | 36.00% |
| Blue CDP | 80 | 195 | 41.03% |
| Bluejacket town | 145 | 280 | 51.79% |
| Boise City city | 535 | 1,115 | 47.98% |
| Bokchito town | 335 | 640 | 52.34% |
| Bokoshe town | 285 | 485 | 58.76% |
| Boley town | 135 | 185 | 72.97% |
| Boswell town | 465 | 705 | 65.96% |
| Bowlegs town | 175 | 390 | 44.87% |
| Box CDP | 10 | 125 | 8.00% |
| Boynton town | 105 | 195 | 53.85% |
| Bradley town | 50 | 105 | 47.62% |
| Braggs town | 120 | 270 | 44.44% |
| Braman town | 75 | 180 | 41.67% |
| Bray town | 465 | 1,290 | 36.05% |
| Breckenridge town | 90 | 290 | 31.03% |
| Brent CDP | 270 | 810 | 33.33% |
| Bridge Creek town | 70 | 270 | 25.93% |
| Bridgeport city | 60 | 115 | 52.17% |
| Briggs CDP | 205 | 285 | 71.93% |
| Bristow city | 2,580 | 4,195 | 61.50% |
| Broken Arrow city | 29,265 | 102,945 | 28.43% |
| Broken Bow city | 2,595 | 4,020 | 64.55% |
| Bromide town | 30 | 120 | 25.00% |
| Brooksville town | 20 | 55 | 36.36% |
| Brush Creek CDP | 15 | 75 | 20.00% |
| Brushy CDP | 520 | 945 | 55.03% |
| Buffalo town | 600 | 1,350 | 44.44% |
| Bull Hollow CDP | 35 | 55 | 63.64% |
| Burbank town | 80 | 115 | 69.57% |
| Burlington town | 95 | 150 | 63.33% |
| Burneyville CDP | 105 | 560 | 18.75% |
| Burns Flat town | 985 | 2,270 | 43.39% |
| Bushyhead CDP | 635 | 1,335 | 47.57% |
| Butler town | 165 | 320 | 51.56% |
| Butler CDP | 40 | 145 | 27.59% |
| Byars town | 145 | 200 | 72.50% |
| Byng town | 390 | 1,295 | 30.12% |
| Byron town | 15 | 40 | 37.50% |
| Cache city | 1,170 | 2,920 | 40.07% |
| Caddo town | 550 | 1,140 | 48.25% |
| Calera town | 1,255 | 2,545 | 49.31% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Calumet town | 270 | 690 | 39.13% |
| Calvin town | 150 | 290 | 51.72% |
| Camargo town | 120 | 205 | 58.54% |
| Cameron town | 170 | 305 | 55.74% |
| Canadian town | 85 | 175 | 48.57% |
| Caney town | 125 | 210 | 59.52% |
| Canton town | 120 | 480 | 25.00% |
| Canute town | 160 | 390 | 41.03% |
| Capron town | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Carlisle CDP | 85 | 450 | 18.89% |
| Carlton Landing town | 4 | 10 | 40.00% |
| Carmen town | 230 | 510 | 45.10% |
| Carnegie town | 1,200 | 2,030 | 59.11% |
| Carney town | 280 | 620 | 45.16% |
| Carrier town | 10 | 55 | 18.18% |
| Carter town | 140 | 340 | 41.18% |
| Cartwright CDP | 450 | 590 | 76.27% |
| Cashion town | 320 | 745 | 42.95% |
| Castle town | 95 | 135 | 70.37% |
| Catoosa city | 3,140 | 7,335 | 42.81% |
| Cayuga CDP | 25 | 95 | 26.32% |
| Cedar Crest CDP | 125 | 165 | 75.76% |
| Cedar Valley city | 115 | 420 | 27.38% |
| Cement town | 240 | 505 | 47.52% |
| Centrahoma city | 60 | 70 | 85.71% |
| Central High town | 270 | 980 | 27.55% |
| Chandler city | 1,415 | 2,950 | 47.97% |
| Chattanooga town | 215 | 435 | 49.43% |
| Checotah city | 1,800 | 3,260 | 55.21% |
| Chelsea town | 1,200 | 1,950 | 61.54% |
| Cherokee city | 605 | 1,495 | 40.47% |
| Cherry Tree CDP | 550 | 865 | 63.58% |
| Chester CDP | 40 | 135 | 29.63% |
| Chewey CDP | 30 | 85 | 35.29% |
| Cheyenne town | 395 | 895 | 44.13% |
| Chickasha city | 7,925 | 15,615 | 50.75% |
| Choctaw city | 3,560 | 11,830 | 30.09% |
| Chouteau town | 720 | 1,970 | 36.55% |
| Christie CDP | 135 | 150 | 90.00% |
| Cimarron City town | 50 | 155 | 32.26% |
| Claremore city | 9,195 | 17,870 | 51.45% |
| Clarita CDP | 70 | 100 | 70.00% |
| Clayton town | 490 | 730 | 67.12% |
| Clearview town | 20 | 30 | 66.67% |
| Cleora CDP | 540 | 1,340 | 40.30% |
| Cleo Springs town | 125 | 360 | 34.72% |
| Cleveland city | 1,050 | 3,150 | 33.33% |
| Clinton city | 3,840 | 9,045 | 42.45% |
| Cloud Creek CDP | 25 | 95 | 26.32% |
| Coalgate city | 980 | 1,930 | 50.78% |
| Colbert town | 665 | 1,375 | 48.36% |
| Colcord town | 545 | 925 | 58.92% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Cole town | 185 | 620 | 29.84% |
| Coleman CDP | 140 | 310 | 45.16% |
| Collinsville city | 2,055 | 6,105 | 33.66% |
| Colony town | 50 | 135 | 37.04% |
| Comanche city | 745 | 1,590 | 46.86% |
| Commerce city | 1,475 | 2,405 | 61.33% |
| Connerville CDP | 20 | 45 | 44.44% |
| Cooperton town | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Copan town | 300 | 770 | 38.96% |
| Copeland CDP | 695 | 1,490 | 46.64% |
| Corn town | 180 | 425 | 42.35% |
| Cornish town | 115 | 200 | 57.50% |
| Council Hill town | 70 | 115 | 60.87% |
| Covington town | 210 | 485 | 43.30% |
| Coweta city | 3,785 | 9,430 | 40.14% |
| Cowlington town | 65 | 140 | 46.43% |
| Coyle town | 185 | 280 | 66.07% |
| Crescent city | 705 | 1,235 | 57.09% |
| Cromwell town | 135 | 270 | 50.00% |
| Crowder town | 165 | 370 | 44.59% |
| Cushing city | 3,570 | 7,125 | 50.11% |
| Custer City town | 190 | 330 | 57.58% |
| Cyril town | 495 | 1,170 | 42.31% |
| Dacoma town | 55 | 95 | 57.89% |
| Dale CDP | 85 | 185 | 45.95% |
| Davenport town | 330 | 850 | 38.82% |
| Davidson town | 220 | 295 | 74.58% |
| Davis city | 1,075 | 2,675 | 40.19% |
| Deer Creek town | 75 | 145 | 51.72% |
| Deer Lick CDP | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Delaware town | 200 | 350 | 57.14% |
| Del City city | 12,060 | 21,810 | 55.30% |
| Dennis CDP | 100 | 135 | 74.07% |
| Depew town | 250 | 410 | 60.98% |
| Devol town | 35 | 150 | 23.33% |
| Dewar town | 370 | 900 | 41.11% |
| Dewey city | 1,565 | 3,365 | 46.51% |
| Dibble town | 350 | 910 | 38.46% |
| Dickson town | 385 | 1,410 | 27.30% |
| Dill City town | 280 | 605 | 46.28% |
| Disney town | 135 | 195 | 69.23% |
| Dodge CDP | 20 | 120 | 16.67% |
| Dotyville CDP | 55 | 95 | 57.89% |
| Dougherty town | 100 | 245 | 40.82% |
| Douglas town | 4 | 15 | 26.67% |
| Dover town | 160 | 305 | 52.46% |
| Dripping Springs CDP | 15 | 30 | 50.00% |
| Drowning Creek CDP | 160 | 185 | 86.49% |
| Drummond town | 255 | 435 | 58.62% |
| Drumright city | 1,510 | 2,855 | 52.89% |
| Dry Creek CDP | 165 | 315 | 52.38% |
| Duchess Landing CDP | 195 | 260 | 75.00% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Duncan city | 9,875 | 22,870 | 43.18% |
| Durant city | 8,500 | 15,720 | 54.07% |
| Dustin town | 220 | 295 | 74.58% |
| Dwight Mission CDP | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Eagletown CDP | 385 | 640 | 60.16% |
| Eakly town | 210 | 385 | 54.55% |
| Earlsboro town | 185 | 485 | 38.14% |
| East Duke town | 155 | 350 | 44.29% |
| Edmond city | 23,125 | 85,040 | 27.19% |
| Eldon CDP | 40 | 240 | 16.67% |
| Eldorado town | 225 | 450 | 50.00% |
| Elgin city | 705 | 2,700 | 26.11% |
| Elk City city | 5,455 | 12,210 | 44.68% |
| Elmer town | 45 | 115 | 39.13% |
| Elm Grove CDP | 110 | 165 | 66.67% |
| Elmore City town | 420 | 755 | 55.63% |
| El Reno city | 7,800 | 16,440 | 47.45% |
| Empire City town | 175 | 810 | 21.60% |
| Enid city | 21,100 | 48,930 | 43.12% |
| Erick city | 630 | 1,090 | 57.80% |
| Erin Springs town | 35 | 190 | 18.42% |
| Etowah town | 50 | 85 | 58.82% |
| Eufaula city | 1,465 | 2,660 | 55.08% |
| Evening Shade CDP | 105 | 305 | 34.43% |
| Fairfax town | 985 | 1,455 | 67.70% |
| Fairfield CDP | 310 | 580 | 53.45% |
| Fairland town | 510 | 1,090 | 46.79% |
| Fairmont town | 50 | 220 | 22.73% |
| Fair Oaks town | 15 | 55 | 27.27% |
| Fairview city | 1,045 | 2,520 | 41.47% |
| Fallis town | 10 | 20 | 50.00% |
| Fanshawe town | 105 | 345 | 30.43% |
| Fargo town | 185 | 335 | 55.22% |
| Faxon town | 30 | 65 | 46.15% |
| Felt CDP | 35 | 90 | 38.89% |
| Fitzhugh town | 105 | 285 | 36.84% |
| Fletcher town | 385 | 1,140 | 33.77% |
| Flint Creek CDP | 330 | 810 | 40.74% |
| Flute Springs CDP | 20 | 60 | 33.33% |
| Foraker town | 4 | 4 | 100.00% |
| Forest Park town | 250 | 1,105 | 22.62% |
| Forgan town | 195 | 380 | 51.32% |
| Fort Cobb town | 245 | 625 | 39.20% |
| Fort Coffee town | 265 | 520 | 50.96% |
| Fort Gibson town | 1,490 | 4,065 | 36.65% |
| Fort Supply town | 275 | 445 | 61.80% |
| Fort Towson town | 430 | 620 | 69.35% |
| Foss town | 65 | 175 | 37.14% |
| Foster town | 30 | 115 | 26.09% |
| Foyil town | 175 | 280 | 62.50% |
| Francis town | 165 | 325 | 50.77% |
| Frederick city | 1,910 | 3,695 | 51.69% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Freedom town | 90 | 245 | 36.73% |
| Friendship town | 0 | 15 | 0.00% |
| Gage town | 285 | 475 | 60.00% |
| Gans town | 200 | 330 | 60.61% |
| Garber city | 350 | 685 | 51.09% |
| Garvin town | 130 | 195 | 66.67% |
| Gate town | 65 | 130 | 50.00% |
| Geary city | 680 | 1,120 | 60.71% |
| Gene Autry town | 80 | 135 | 59.26% |
| Geronimo town | 465 | 985 | 47.21% |
| Gerty town | 45 | 85 | 52.94% |
| Gideon CDP | 0 | 15 | 0.00% |
| Glencoe town | 370 | 575 | 64.35% |
| Glenpool city | 4,570 | 11,915 | 38.36% |
| Goldsby town | 545 | 1,980 | 27.53% |
| Goltry town | 60 | 185 | 32.43% |
| Goodwell town | 465 | 915 | 50.82% |
| Gore town | 415 | 960 | 43.23% |
| Gotebo town | 60 | 165 | 36.36% |
| Gould town | 55 | 170 | 32.35% |
| Gowen CDP | 110 | 295 | 37.29% |
| Gracemont town | 135 | 220 | 61.36% |
| Grainola town | 35 | 40 | 87.50% |
| Grandfield city | 445 | 915 | 48.63% |
| Grand Lake Towne town | 30 | 135 | 22.22% |
| Grandview CDP | 195 | 450 | 43.33% |
| Granite town | 440 | 1,040 | 42.31% |
| Grant CDP | 125 | 270 | 46.30% |
| Grayson town | 50 | 140 | 35.71% |
| Greasy CDP | 120 | 310 | 38.71% |
| Greenfield town | 35 | 95 | 36.84% |
| Gregory CDP | 90 | 155 | 58.06% |
| Grove city | 2,640 | 6,535 | 40.40% |
| Guthrie city | 4,435 | 9,965 | 44.51% |
| Guymon city | 4,760 | 11,810 | 40.30% |
| Haileyville city | 425 | 735 | 57.82% |
| Hallett town | 60 | 115 | 52.17% |
| Hammon town | 300 | 525 | 57.14% |
| Hanna town | 90 | 120 | 75.00% |
| Hardesty town | 135 | 290 | 46.55% |
| Harrah city | 1,850 | 5,485 | 33.73% |
| Hartshorne city | 785 | 2,085 | 37.65% |
| Haskell town | 895 | 1,845 | 48.51% |
| Hastings town | 20 | 50 | 40.00% |
| Haworth town | 175 | 260 | 67.31% |
| Headrick town | 25 | 125 | 20.00% |
| Healdton city | 1,160 | 2,755 | 42.11% |
| Heavener city | 2,075 | 3,325 | 62.41% |
| Helena town | 150 | 485 | 30.93% |
| Hendrix town | 30 | 65 | 46.15% |
| Hennessey town | 915 | 2,270 | 40.31% |
| Henryetta city | 2,895 | 5,625 | 51.47% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|---------|
| Hickory town | 55 | 85 | 64.71% |
| Hillsdale town | 4 | 135 | 2.96% |
| Hinton town | 630 | 2,240 | 28.13% |
| Hitchcock town | 35 | 80 | 43.75% |
| Hitchita town | 80 | 105 | 76.19% |
| Hobart city | 1,620 | 3,570 | 45.38% |
| Hoffman town | 75 | 135 | 55.56% |
| Holdenville city | 2,350 | 4,170 | 56.35% |
| Hollis city | 1,210 | 1,955 | 61.89% |
| Hollister town | 10 | 15 | 66.67% |
| Hominy city | 1,480 | 2,430 | 60.91% |
| Hooker city | 710 | 1,935 | 36.69% |
| Hoot Owl town | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Horntown town | 35 | 100 | 35.00% |
| Howe town | 365 | 760 | 48.03% |
| Hugo city | 3,470 | 5,090 | 68.17% |
| Hulbert town | 355 | 680 | 52.21% |
| Hunter town | 95 | 220 | 43.18% |
| Hydro town | 380 | 940 | 40.43% |
| Idabel city | 4,080 | 6,725 | 60.67% |
| Indiahoma town | 160 | 345 | 46.38% |
| Indianola CDP | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Indianola town | 80 | 170 | 47.06% |
| Inola town | 760 | 1,885 | 40.32% |
| Iron Post CDP | 40 | 80 | 50.00% |
| Isabella CDP | 120 | 200 | 60.00% |
| IXL town | 35 | 40 | 87.50% |
| Jay city | 1,490 | 2,480 | 60.08% |
| Jefferson town | 10 | 15 | 66.67% |
| Jenks city | 3,170 | 18,980 | 16.70% |
| Jennings town | 170 | 250 | 68.00% |
| Jet town | 135 | 225 | 60.00% |
| Johnson town | 55 | 190 | 28.95% |
| Jones town | 825 | 2,760 | 29.89% |
| Justice CDP | 300 | 1,285 | 23.35% |
| Kansas town | 415 | 855 | 48.54% |
| Katie town | 125 | 260 | 48.08% |
| Kaw City city | 115 | 315 | 36.51% |
| Kellyville town | 825 | 1,365 | 60.44% |
| Kemp town | 75 | 120 | 62.50% |
| Kendrick town | 45 | 85 | 52.94% |
| Kenefic town | 95 | 200 | 47.50% |
| Kenton CDP | 60 | 60 | 100.00% |
| Kenwood CDP | 595 | 1,010 | 58.91% |
| Keota town | 370 | 650 | 56.92% |
| Ketchum town | 240 | 440 | 54.55% |
| Keyes town | 110 | 240 | 45.83% |
| Keys CDP | 300 | 580 | 51.72% |
| Kiefer town | 1,005 | 2,055 | 48.91% |
| Kildare town | 20 | 70 | 28.57% |
| Kingfisher city | 1,380 | 4,615 | 29.90% |
| Kingston town | 765 | 1,440 | 53.13% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Kinta town | 170 | 255 | 66.67% |
| Kiowa town | 305 | 615 | 49.59% |
| Knowles town | 10 | 15 | 66.67% |
| Konawa city | 730 | 1,325 | 55.09% |
| Krebs city | 970 | 2,105 | 46.08% |
| Kremlin town | 30 | 195 | 15.38% |
| Lahoma town | 190 | 735 | 25.85% |
| Lake Aluma town | 4 | 75 | 5.33% |
| Lamar town | 80 | 140 | 57.14% |
| Lambert town | 4 | 10 | 40.00% |
| Lamont town | 110 | 300 | 36.67% |
| Lane CDP | 145 | 370 | 39.19% |
| Langley town | 390 | 770 | 50.65% |
| Langston town | 320 | 670 | 47.76% |
| Latta CDP | 675 | 1,180 | 57.20% |
| Laverne town | 615 | 1,350 | 45.56% |
| Lawrence Creek town | 60 | 230 | 26.09% |
| Lawton city | 42,095 | 88,455 | 47.59% |
| Leach CDP | 120 | 260 | 46.15% |
| Lebanon CDP | 110 | 205 | 53.66% |
| Leedey town | 140 | 440 | 31.82% |
| Le Flore town | 105 | 175 | 60.00% |
| Lehigh city | 145 | 330 | 43.94% |
| Lenapah town | 100 | 260 | 38.46% |
| Leon town | 60 | 90 | 66.67% |
| Lequire CDP | 65 | 160 | 40.63% |
| Lexington city | 1,220 | 2,260 | 53.98% |
| Liberty CDP | 120 | 330 | 36.36% |
| Liberty town | 45 | 200 | 22.50% |
| Lima town | 30 | 60 | 50.00% |
| Limestone CDP | 105 | 580 | 18.10% |
| Lindsay city | 1,275 | 2,760 | 46.20% |
| Loco town | 45 | 120 | 37.50% |
| Locust Grove town | 880 | 1,385 | 63.54% |
| Lone Grove city | 2,475 | 5,155 | 48.01% |
| Lone Wolf town | 155 | 450 | 34.44% |
| Long CDP | 150 | 285 | 52.63% |
| Longdale town | 90 | 205 | 43.90% |
| Longtown CDP | 1,250 | 2,925 | 42.74% |
| Lookeba town | 105 | 155 | 67.74% |
| Lost City CDP | 370 | 850 | 43.53% |
| Lotsee town | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Loveland town | 0 | 4 | 0.00% |
| Loyal town | 30 | 80 | 37.50% |
| Lucien CDP | 30 | 100 | 30.00% |
| Luther town | 540 | 1,120 | 48.21% |
| Lyons Switch CDP | 120 | 355 | 33.80% |
| McAlester city | 7,155 | 16,275 | 43.96% |
| McCord CDP | 545 | 1,595 | 34.17% |
| McCurtain town | 240 | 470 | 51.06% |
| McLoud city | 1,250 | 3,205 | 39.00% |
| Macomb town | 15 | 30 | 50.00% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Madill city | 1,810 | 3,685 | 49.12% |
| Manchester town | 15 | 65 | 23.08% |
| Mangum city | 1,370 | 2,780 | 49.28% |
| Manitou town | 150 | 175 | 85.71% |
| Mannford town | 1,305 | 3,025 | 43.14% |
| Mannsville town | 410 | 830 | 49.40% |
| Maramec town | 45 | 95 | 47.37% |
| Marble City town | 175 | 225 | 77.78% |
| Marietta CDP | 100 | 145 | 68.97% |
| Marietta city | 1,285 | 2,590 | 49.61% |
| Marland town | 200 | 280 | 71.43% |
| Marlow city | 2,295 | 4,510 | 50.89% |
| Marshall town | 170 | 310 | 54.84% |
| Martha town | 60 | 140 | 42.86% |
| Maud city | 480 | 915 | 52.46% |
| May town | 15 | 50 | 30.00% |
| Maysville town | 700 | 1,290 | 54.26% |
| Mazie CDP | 45 | 205 | 21.95% |
| Mead town | 35 | 80 | 43.75% |
| Medford city | 400 | 970 | 41.24% |
| Medicine Park town | 75 | 235 | 31.91% |
| Meeker town | 465 | 1,110 | 41.89% |
| Meno town | 95 | 240 | 39.58% |
| Meridian town | 45 | 50 | 90.00% |
| Meridian CDP | 410 | 1,085 | 37.79% |
| Miami city | 6,670 | 12,800 | 52.11% |
| Midwest City city | 27,525 | 56,210 | 48.97% |
| Milburn town | 160 | 320 | 50.00% |
| Mill Creek town | 145 | 310 | 46.77% |
| Millerton town | 165 | 285 | 57.89% |
| Minco city | 535 | 1,365 | 39.19% |
| Moffett town | 70 | 130 | 53.85% |
| Monroe CDP | 115 | 200 | 57.50% |
| Moore city | 20,810 | 58,240 | 35.73% |
| Mooreland town | 820 | 1,725 | 47.54% |
| Morris city | 645 | 1,510 | 42.72% |
| Morrison town | 340 | 775 | 43.87% |
| Mounds town | 685 | 1,190 | 57.56% |
| Mountain Park town | 240 | 475 | 50.53% |
| Mountain View town | 330 | 775 | 42.58% |
| Mulberry CDP | 85 | 140 | 60.71% |
| Muldrow town | 1,550 | 3,330 | 46.55% |
| Mulhall town | 130 | 275 | 47.27% |
| Murphy CDP | 90 | 170 | 52.94% |
| Muskogee city | 19,195 | 37,405 | 51.32% |
| Mustang city | 5,055 | 19,095 | 26.47% |
| Mutual town | 80 | 115 | 69.57% |
| Narcissa CDP | 15 | 75 | 20.00% |
| Nardin CDP | 75 | 90 | 83.33% |
| Nash town | 55 | 220 | 25.00% |
| Nescatunga CDP | 35 | 95 | 36.84% |
| New Alluwe town | 45 | 85 | 52.94% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Newcastle city | 2,465 | 8,710 | 28.30% |
| New Cordell city | 965 | 2,805 | 34.40% |
| New Eucha CDP | 315 | 525 | 60.00% |
| Newkirk city | 1,085 | 2,160 | 50.23% |
| New Woodville town | 55 | 155 | 35.48% |
| Nichols Hills city | 440 | 3,840 | 11.46% |
| Nicoma Park city | 1,060 | 2,440 | 43.44% |
| Nicut CDP | 85 | 245 | 34.69% |
| Ninnekah town | 305 | 1,020 | 29.90% |
| Noble city | 2,750 | 6,575 | 41.83% |
| Norge town | 115 | 165 | 69.70% |
| Norman city | 47,120 | 109,415 | 43.07% |
| North Enid town | 205 | 880 | 23.30% |
| North Miami town | 210 | 360 | 58.33% |
| Notchietown CDP | 135 | 290 | 46.55% |
| Nowata city | 1,875 | 3,540 | 52.97% |
| Oak Grove town | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Oakhurst CDP | 1,285 | 2,310 | 55.63% |
| Oakland town | 480 | 1,090 | 44.04% |
| Oaks town | 200 | 305 | 65.57% |
| Oakwood town | 40 | 50 | 80.00% |
| Ochelata town | 180 | 380 | 47.37% |
| Oilton city | 685 | 1,080 | 63.43% |
| Okarche town | 310 | 1,160 | 26.72% |
| Okay town | 390 | 580 | 67.24% |
| Okeene town | 345 | 970 | 35.57% |
| Okemah city | 1,985 | 3,115 | 63.72% |
| Oklahoma City city | 283,860 | 597,680 | 47.49% |
| Okmulgee city | 6,890 | 11,235 | 61.33% |
| Oktaha town | 185 | 375 | 49.33% |
| Old Eucha CDP | 4 | 15 | 26.67% |
| Old Green CDP | 210 | 580 | 36.21% |
| Olustee town | 265 | 535 | 49.53% |
| Oologah town | 435 | 1,135 | 38.33% |
| Optima town | 250 | 500 | 50.00% |
| Orlando town | 100 | 155 | 64.52% |
| Osage town | 70 | 115 | 60.87% |
| Owasso city | 8,635 | 32,385 | 26.66% |
| Paden town | 300 | 585 | 51.28% |
| Panama town | 710 | 1,285 | 55.25% |
| Panola CDP | 35 | 95 | 36.84% |
| Paoli town | 285 | 575 | 49.57% |
| Paradise Hill town | 10 | 55 | 18.18% |
| Park Hill CDP | 1,670 | 3,400 | 49.12% |
| Pauls Valley city | 2,630 | 5,815 | 45.23% |
| Pawhuska city | 1,855 | 3,600 | 51.53% |
| Pawnee city | 1,070 | 2,120 | 50.47% |
| Peavine CDP | 160 | 360 | 44.44% |
| Peggs CDP | 295 | 755 | 39.07% |
| Pensacola town | 35 | 135 | 25.93% |
| Peoria town | 65 | 105 | 61.90% |
| Perkins city | 1,265 | 2,860 | 44.23% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Perry city | 2,130 | 4,990 | 42.69% |
| Pettit CDP | 285 | 915 | 31.15% |
| Phillips town | 50 | 110 | 45.45% |
| Piedmont city | 1,230 | 6,500 | 18.92% |
| Piney CDP | 30 | 235 | 12.77% |
| Pinhook Corner CDP | 110 | 170 | 64.71% |
| Pink town | 980 | 2,280 | 42.98% |
| Pin Oak Acres CDP | 150 | 410 | 36.59% |
| Pittsburg town | 95 | 185 | 51.35% |
| Platter CDP | 15 | 160 | 9.38% |
| Pocasset town | 85 | 150 | 56.67% |
| Pocola town | 1,645 | 3,950 | 41.65% |
| Ponca City city | 10,640 | 24,220 | 43.93% |
| Pond Creek city | 455 | 940 | 48.40% |
| Porter town | 355 | 625 | 56.80% |
| Porum town | 445 | 660 | 67.42% |
| Poteau city | 3,405 | 8,230 | 41.37% |
| Prague city | 1,060 | 2,315 | 45.79% |
| Proctor CDP | 145 | 195 | 74.36% |
| Prue town | 345 | 510 | 67.65% |
| Pryor Creek city | 4,160 | 9,090 | 45.76% |
| Pump Back CDP | 100 | 220 | 45.45% |
| Purcell city | 2,645 | 6,110 | 43.29% |
| Putnam town | 4 | 15 | 26.67% |
| Quapaw town | 485 | 845 | 57.40% |
| Quinton town | 615 | 995 | 61.81% |
| Ralston town | 180 | 345 | 52.17% |
| Ramona town | 325 | 530 | 61.32% |
| Randlett town | 160 | 360 | 44.44% |
| Ratliff City town | 85 | 130 | 65.38% |
| Rattan town | 140 | 290 | 48.28% |
| Ravia town | 260 | 450 | 57.78% |
| Redbird town | 30 | 70 | 42.86% |
| Redbird Smith CDP | 275 | 350 | 78.57% |
| Red Oak town | 280 | 600 | 46.67% |
| Red Rock town | 155 | 345 | 44.93% |
| Remy CDP | 180 | 550 | 32.73% |
| Renfrow town | 4 | 15 | 26.67% |
| Rentiesville town | 75 | 140 | 53.57% |
| Reydon town | 100 | 155 | 64.52% |
| Ringling town | 565 | 1,025 | 55.12% |
| Ringwood town | 320 | 615 | 52.03% |
| Ripley town | 135 | 315 | 42.86% |
| River Bottom CDP | 65 | 165 | 39.39% |
| Rock Island town | 305 | 775 | 39.35% |
| Rocky town | 55 | 155 | 35.48% |
| Rocky Ford CDP | 15 | 55 | 27.27% |
| Rocky Mountain CDP | 185 | 380 | 48.68% |
| Roff town | 325 | 660 | 49.24% |
| Roland town | 1,730 | 3,265 | 52.99% |
| Roosevelt town | 165 | 300 | 55.00% |
| Rose CDP | 10 | 150 | 6.67% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Rosedale town | 75 | 75 | 100.00% |
| Rosston town | 15 | 45 | 33.33% |
| Rush Springs town | 770 | 1,310 | 58.78% |
| Ryan town | 505 | 770 | 65.58% |
| St. Louis town | 55 | 115 | 47.83% |
| Salem CDP | 45 | 135 | 33.33% |
| Salina town | 595 | 1,235 | 48.18% |
| Sallisaw city | 4,535 | 8,535 | 53.13% |
| Sams Corner CDP | 20 | 135 | 14.81% |
| Sand Hill CDP | 95 | 370 | 25.68% |
| Sand Springs city | 7,325 | 19,215 | 38.12% |
| Sapulpa city | 9,940 | 20,065 | 49.54% |
| Sasakwa town | 65 | 125 | 52.00% |
| Savanna town | 245 | 670 | 36.57% |
| Sawyer town | 175 | 355 | 49.30% |
| Sayre city | 1,615 | 3,145 | 51.35% |
| Schulter town | 295 | 455 | 64.84% |
| Scraper CDP | 130 | 195 | 66.67% |
| Seiling city | 370 | 805 | 45.96% |
| Seminole city | 3,970 | 7,215 | 55.02% |
| Sentinel town | 435 | 865 | 50.29% |
| Sequoyah CDP | 175 | 665 | 26.32% |
| Shady Grove CDP (Cherokee County) | 200 | 465 | 43.01% |
| Shady Grove CDP (McIntosh County) | 130 | 250 | 52.00% |
| Shady Point town | 430 | 1,090 | 39.45% |
| Shamrock town | 50 | 60 | 83.33% |
| Sharon town | 30 | 65 | 46.15% |
| Shattuck town | 605 | 1,220 | 49.59% |
| Shawnee city | 13,650 | 29,235 | 46.69% |
| Shidler city | 190 | 415 | 45.78% |
| Short CDP | 290 | 455 | 63.74% |
| Silo town | 85 | 335 | 25.37% |
| Simms CDP | 125 | 295 | 42.37% |
| Skedee town | 45 | 55 | 81.82% |
| Skiatook town | 3,315 | 7,775 | 42.64% |
| Slaughterville town | 2,015 | 4,225 | 47.69% |
| Slick town | 55 | 95 | 57.89% |
| Smith Village town | 40 | 75 | 53.33% |
| Smithville town | 80 | 105 | 76.19% |
| Snake Creek CDP | 145 | 180 | 80.56% |
| Snyder city | 720 | 1,475 | 48.81% |
| Soper town | 105 | 200 | 52.50% |
| Sour John CDP | 25 | 40 | 62.50% |
| South Coffeyville town | 335 | 780 | 42.95% |
| Sparks town | 115 | 180 | 63.89% |
| Spaulding town | 65 | 130 | 50.00% |
| Spavinaw town | 230 | 350 | 65.71% |
| Spencer city | 2,195 | 3,950 | 55.57% |
| Sperry town | 565 | 1,140 | 49.56% |
| Spiro town | 1,345 | 2,580 | 52.13% |
| Sportsmen Acres town | 175 | 370 | 47.30% |
| Springer town | 275 | 640 | 42.97% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Steely Hollow CDP | 60 | 155 | 38.71% |
| Sterling town | 325 | 620 | 52.42% |
| Stidham town | 35 | 45 | 77.78% |
| Stigler city | 1,470 | 2,650 | 55.47% |
| Stillwater city | 22,425 | 40,180 | 55.81% |
| Stilwell city | 2,200 | 3,910 | 56.27% |
| Stonewall town | 240 | 345 | 69.57% |
| Stoney Point CDP | 205 | 285 | 71.93% |
| Strang town | 45 | 65 | 69.23% |
| Stratford town | 845 | 1,505 | 56.15% |
| Stringtown town | 145 | 340 | 42.65% |
| Strong City town | 4 | 60 | 6.67% |
| Stroud city | 1,115 | 2,650 | 42.08% |
| Stuart town | 110 | 185 | 59.46% |
| Sugden town | 20 | 40 | 50.00% |
| Sulphur city | 2,290 | 4,720 | 48.52% |
| Summit town | 85 | 135 | 62.96% |
| Sweetwater town | 50 | 185 | 27.03% |
| Swink CDP | 60 | 85 | 70.59% |
| Sycamore CDP | 170 | 360 | 47.22% |
| Taft town | 105 | 175 | 60.00% |
| Tagg Flats CDP | 90 | 105 | 85.71% |
| Tahlequah city | 8,505 | 14,465 | 58.80% |
| Talala town | 175 | 325 | 53.85% |
| Talihina town | 590 | 1,045 | 56.46% |
| Taloga town | 165 | 365 | 45.21% |
| Tamaha town | 70 | 140 | 50.00% |
| Tatums town | 100 | 110 | 90.91% |
| Tecumseh city | 2,685 | 6,430 | 41.76% |
| Temple town | 630 | 1,050 | 60.00% |
| Tenkiller CDP | 210 | 505 | 41.58% |
| Teresita CDP | 55 | 145 | 37.93% |
| Terlton town | 55 | 105 | 52.38% |
| Terral town | 215 | 390 | 55.13% |
| Texanna CDP | 860 | 2,235 | 38.48% |
| Texhoma town | 365 | 850 | 42.94% |
| Texola town | 10 | 55 | 18.18% |
| Thackerville town | 260 | 470 | 55.32% |
| The Village city | 3,705 | 9,205 | 40.25% |
| Thomas city | 345 | 1,185 | 29.11% |
| Tiawah CDP | 0 | 225 | 0.00% |
| Tipton town | 330 | 865 | 38.15% |
| Tishomingo city | 1,575 | 2,815 | 55.95% |
| Titanic CDP | 125 | 250 | 50.00% |
| Tonkawa city | 1,285 | 3,035 | 42.34% |
| Tribbey town | 195 | 370 | 52.70% |
| Tryon town | 335 | 525 | 63.81% |
| Tullahassee town | 255 | 285 | 89.47% |
| Tulsa city | 196,445 | 391,080 | 50.23% |
| Tupelo city | 230 | 345 | 66.67% |
| Turley CDP | 1,275 | 2,145 | 59.44% |
| Turpin CDP | 290 | 575 | 50.43% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Tushka town | 145 | 285 | 50.88% |
| Tuskahoma CDP | 65 | 130 | 50.00% |
| Tuttle city | 1,705 | 6,380 | 26.72% |
| Twin Oaks CDP | 100 | 225 | 44.44% |
| Tyrone town | 385 | 965 | 39.90% |
| Union City town | 395 | 1,295 | 30.50% |
| Valley Brook town | 545 | 720 | 75.69% |
| Valley Park town | 10 | 60 | 16.67% |
| Valliant town | 465 | 805 | 57.76% |
| Velma town | 185 | 695 | 26.62% |
| Vera town | 90 | 165 | 54.55% |
| Verden town | 335 | 580 | 57.76% |
| Verdigris town | 1,360 | 4,205 | 32.34% |
| Vian town | 790 | 1,215 | 65.02% |
| Vici town | 350 | 760 | 46.05% |
| Vinita city | 3,055 | 5,240 | 58.30% |
| Wagoner city | 5,495 | 8,430 | 65.18% |
| Wainwright town | 140 | 175 | 80.00% |
| Wakita town | 140 | 280 | 50.00% |
| Walters city | 1,285 | 2,495 | 51.50% |
| Wanette town | 140 | 280 | 50.00% |
| Wann town | 50 | 190 | 26.32% |
| Wapanucka town | 250 | 375 | 66.67% |
| Wardville CDP | 15 | 45 | 33.33% |
| Warner town | 825 | 1,520 | 54.28% |
| Warr Acres city | 4,915 | 10,245 | 47.97% |
| Warwick town | 70 | 125 | 56.00% |
| Washington town | 235 | 690 | 34.06% |
| Watonga city | 1,190 | 2,465 | 48.28% |
| Watts town | 220 | 310 | 70.97% |
| Wauhillau CDP | 275 | 450 | 61.11% |
| Waukomis town | 550 | 1,475 | 37.29% |
| Waurika city | 975 | 1,945 | 50.13% |
| Wayne town | 340 | 685 | 49.64% |
| Waynoka city | 555 | 1,130 | 49.12% |
| Weatherford city | 4,530 | 10,550 | 42.94% |
| Webb City town | 65 | 75 | 86.67% |
| Webbers Falls town | 350 | 545 | 64.22% |
| Welch town | 300 | 645 | 46.51% |
| Weleetka town | 435 | 845 | 51.48% |
| Welling CDP | 345 | 840 | 41.07% |
| Wellston town | 325 | 780 | 41.67% |
| West Peavine CDP | 120 | 215 | 55.81% |
| Westport town | 85 | 440 | 19.32% |
| West Siloam Springs town | 365 | 870 | 41.95% |
| Westville town | 1,135 | 1,700 | 66.76% |
| Wetumka city | 720 | 1,180 | 61.02% |
| Wewoka city | 1,995 | 3,150 | 63.33% |
| Whitefield town | 205 | 465 | 44.09% |
| White Oak CDP | 90 | 275 | 32.73% |
| Whitesboro CDP | 75 | 200 | 37.50% |
| White Water CDP | 10 | 25 | 40.00% |

HUD LOW / MODERATE INCOME PERCENTAGES (TOWN / CITY)

| | | | |
|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Wickliffe CDP | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Wilburton city | 1,240 | 2,495 | 49.70% |
| Willow town | 60 | 130 | 46.15% |
| Wilson city | 720 | 1,540 | 46.75% |
| Winchester town | 195 | 520 | 37.50% |
| Wister town | 555 | 1,010 | 54.95% |
| Woodall CDP | 425 | 885 | 48.02% |
| Woodlawn Park town | 50 | 160 | 31.25% |
| Woodward city | 5,085 | 12,355 | 41.16% |
| Wright City town | 375 | 635 | 59.06% |
| Wyandotte town | 160 | 340 | 47.06% |
| Wynnewood city | 1,165 | 2,190 | 53.20% |
| Wynona town | 255 | 475 | 53.68% |
| Yale city | 585 | 1,130 | 51.77% |
| Yeager town | 50 | 70 | 71.43% |
| Yukon city | 7,480 | 24,425 | 30.62% |
| Zeb CDP | 215 | 675 | 31.85% |
| Zena CDP | 50 | 205 | 24.39% |
| Zion CDP | 4 | 35 | 11.43% |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Enid, OK MSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$74,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15650 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 26050 | 29750 | 33450 | 37150 | 40150 | 43100 | 46100 | 49050 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 41650 | 47600 | 53550 | 59450 | 64250 | 69000 | 73750 | 78500 |
| Fort Smith, AR-OK MSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Smith, AR-OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$62,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13590 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 35250 | 37650 | 40100 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 21250 | 24300 | 27350 | 30350 | 32800 | 35250 | 37650 | 40100 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 34000 | 38850 | 43700 | 48550 | 52450 | 56350 | 60250 | 64100 |
| Lawton, OK MSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cotton County, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$65,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13750 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40550 | 43200 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22900 | 26200 | 29450 | 32700 | 35350 | 37950 | 40550 | 43200 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36650 | 41850 | 47100 | 52300 | 56500 | 60700 | 64900 | 69050 |
| Lawton, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$67,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14150 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41700 | 44400 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 23550 | 26900 | 30250 | 33600 | 36300 | 39000 | 41700 | 44400 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 37650 | 43000 | 48400 | 53750 | 58050 | 62350 | 66650 | 70950 |
| Oklahoma City, OK MSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grady County, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$81,000 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 17050 | 19450 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 28350 | 32400 | 36450 | 40500 | 43750 | 47000 | 50250 | 53500 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 45400 | 51850 | 58350 | 64800 | 70000 | 75200 | 80400 | 85550 |
| Lincoln County, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$70,700 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14850 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 24750 | 28300 | 31850 | 35350 | 38200 | 41050 | 43850 | 46700 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 39600 | 45250 | 50900 | 56550 | 61100 | 65600 | 70150 | 74650 |
| Oklahoma City, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$85,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 17200 | 19650 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 28700 | 32800 | 36900 | 40950 | 44250 | 47550 | 50800 | 54100 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 45850 | 52400 | 58950 | 65500 | 70750 | 76000 | 81250 | 86500 |
| Tulsa, OK MSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| Okmulgee County, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$59,500 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Pawnee County, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$62,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Tulsa, OK HMFA | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$82,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 16950 | 19350 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 28200 | 32200 | 36250 | 40250 | 43500 | 46700 | 49950 | 53150 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 45100 | 51550 | 58000 | 64400 | 69600 | 74750 | 79900 | 85050 |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Adair County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$48,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Alfalfa County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$81,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 16700 | 19100 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 27850 | 31800 | 35800 | 39750 | 42950 | 46150 | 49300 | 52500 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 44550 | 50900 | 57250 | 63600 | 68700 | 73800 | 78900 | 84000 |
| Atoka County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$52,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Beaver County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$63,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Beckham County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$66,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14050 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41500 | 44200 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 23450 | 26800 | 30150 | 33450 | 36150 | 38850 | 41500 | 44200 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 37450 | 42800 | 48150 | 53500 | 57800 | 62100 | 66350 | 70650 |
| Blaine County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$60,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Bryan County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$62,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Caddo County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$63,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Carter County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$69,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14700 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46150 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 24500 | 28000 | 31500 | 34950 | 37750 | 40550 | 43350 | 46150 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 39150 | 44750 | 50350 | 55900 | 60400 | 64850 | 69350 | 73800 |
| Cherokee County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$62,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Choctaw County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$54,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Cimarron County, OK | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: | \$63,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Coal County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$60,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Craig County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$54,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Custer County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$72,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14300 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 44900 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23800 | 27200 | 30600 | 34000 | 36750 | 39450 | 42200 | 44900 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 38100 | 43550 | 49000 | 54400 | 58800 | 63150 | 67500 | 71850 |
| Delaware County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$57,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Dewey County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$72,700 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15300 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 25450 | 29100 | 32750 | 36350 | 39300 | 42200 | 45100 | 48000 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 40750 | 46550 | 52350 | 58150 | 62850 | 67500 | 72150 | 76800 |
| Ellis County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$74,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 26000 | 29700 | 33400 | 37100 | 40100 | 43050 | 46050 | 49000 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 41550 | 47500 | 53450 | 59350 | 64100 | 68850 | 73600 | 78350 |
| Garvin County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$64,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Grant County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$78,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 16450 | 18800 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 27350 | 31250 | 35150 | 39050 | 42200 | 45300 | 48450 | 51550 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 43750 | 50000 | 56250 | 62500 | 67500 | 72500 | 77500 | 82500 |
| Greer County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$61,700 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Harmon County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$67,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13950 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41150 | 43800 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23250 | 26550 | 29850 | 33150 | 35850 | 38500 | 41150 | 43800 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37150 | 42450 | 47750 | 53050 | 57300 | 61550 | 65800 | 70050 |
| Harper County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$74,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15750 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 26200 | 29950 | 33700 | 37400 | 40400 | 43400 | 46400 | 49400 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 41900 | 47900 | 53900 | 59850 | 64650 | 69450 | 74250 | 79050 |
| Haskell County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$62,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Hughes County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$56,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Jackson County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$66,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13950 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41150 | 43800 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23250 | 26550 | 29850 | 33150 | 35850 | 38500 | 41150 | 43800 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37150 | 42450 | 47750 | 53050 | 57300 | 61550 | 65800 | 70050 |
| Jefferson County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$55,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Johnston County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$55,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Kay County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$64,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Kingfisher County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$74,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15650 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 26050 | 29800 | 33500 | 37200 | 40200 | 43200 | 46150 | 49150 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 41650 | 47600 | 53550 | 59500 | 64300 | 69050 | 73800 | 78550 |
| Kiowa County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$60,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Latimer County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$58,000 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Le Flore County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$55,500 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Love County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$68,000 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14300 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 44900 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23800 | 27200 | 30600 | 34000 | 36750 | 39450 | 42200 | 44900 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 38100 | 43550 | 49000 | 54400 | 58800 | 63150 | 67500 | 71850 |
| Major County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$70,000 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14700 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46200 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 24500 | 28000 | 31500 | 35000 | 37800 | 40600 | 43400 | 46200 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 39200 | 44800 | 50400 | 56000 | 60500 | 65000 | 69450 | 73950 |
| Marshall County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$57,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Mayes County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$66,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14000 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41300 | 44000 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23350 | 26650 | 30000 | 33300 | 36000 | 38650 | 41300 | 44000 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37350 | 42650 | 48000 | 53300 | 57600 | 61850 | 66100 | 70400 |
| McCurtain County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$52,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| McIntosh County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$56,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Murray County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$69,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14550 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 45700 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 24250 | 27700 | 31150 | 34600 | 37400 | 40150 | 42950 | 45700 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 38750 | 44300 | 49850 | 55350 | 59800 | 64250 | 68650 | 73100 |
| Muskogee County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$59,200 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Noble County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$74,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15650 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 26050 | 29750 | 33450 | 37150 | 40150 | 43100 | 46100 | 49050 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 41650 | 47600 | 53550 | 59450 | 64250 | 69000 | 73750 | 78500 |
| Nowata County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$58,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Okfuskee County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$51,300 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Ottawa County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$54,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Payne County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$65,500 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13800 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40650 | 43250 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22950 | 26200 | 29500 | 32750 | 35400 | 38000 | 40650 | 43250 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36700 | 41950 | 47200 | 52400 | 56600 | 60800 | 65000 | 69200 |
| Pittsburg County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$66,800 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14050 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41450 | 44100 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23400 | 26750 | 30100 | 33400 | 36100 | 38750 | 41450 | 44100 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37450 | 42800 | 48150 | 53450 | 57750 | 62050 | 66300 | 70600 |
| Pontotoc County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$70,500 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14850 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46550 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 24700 | 28200 | 31750 | 35250 | 38100 | 40900 | 43750 | 46550 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 39500 | 45150 | 50800 | 56400 | 60950 | 65450 | 69950 | 74450 |

STATE:OKLAHOMA

-----S E C T I O N 8 I N C O M E L I M I T S-----

| | PROGRAM | 1 PERSON | 2 PERSON | 3 PERSON | 4 PERSON | 5 PERSON | 6 PERSON | 7 PERSON | 8 PERSON |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pottawatomie County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$74,700 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14500 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 45450 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 24100 | 27550 | 31000 | 34400 | 37200 | 39950 | 42700 | 45450 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 38550 | 44050 | 49550 | 55050 | 59500 | 63900 | 68300 | 72700 |
| Pushmataha County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$53,500 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Roger Mills County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$68,700 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14450 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 45350 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 24050 | 27500 | 30950 | 34350 | 37100 | 39850 | 42600 | 45350 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 38500 | 44000 | 49500 | 54950 | 59350 | 63750 | 68150 | 72550 |
| Seminole County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$55,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Stephens County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$67,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14150 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41650 | 44300 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23500 | 26850 | 30200 | 33550 | 36250 | 38950 | 41650 | 44300 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37600 | 43000 | 48350 | 53700 | 58000 | 62300 | 66600 | 70900 |
| Texas County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$67,100 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 14150 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41650 | 44300 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 23500 | 26850 | 30200 | 33550 | 36250 | 38950 | 41650 | 44300 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 37600 | 43000 | 48350 | 53700 | 58000 | 62300 | 66600 | 70900 |
| Tillman County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$54,900 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 13600 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 22650 | 25900 | 29150 | 32350 | 34950 | 37550 | 40150 | 42750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 36250 | 41400 | 46600 | 51750 | 55900 | 60050 | 64200 | 68350 |
| Washington County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$75,000 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15700 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 26150 | 29850 | 33600 | 37300 | 40300 | 43300 | 46300 | 49250 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 41800 | 47750 | 53700 | 59650 | 64450 | 69200 | 74000 | 78750 |
| Washita County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$73,400 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 15200 | 18310 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 25350 | 28950 | 32550 | 36150 | 39050 | 41950 | 44850 | 47750 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 40500 | 46300 | 52100 | 57850 | 62500 | 67150 | 71750 | 76400 |
| Woods County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$85,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 18000 | 20600 | 23150 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 30000 | 34250 | 38550 | 42800 | 46250 | 49650 | 53100 | 56500 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 47950 | 54800 | 61650 | 68500 | 74000 | 79500 | 84950 | 90450 |
| Woodward County, OK | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 2022 MFI: \$84,600 | EXTR LOW INCOME | 17150 | 19600 | 23030 | 27750 | 32470 | 37190 | 41910 | 46630 |
| | VERY LOW INCOME | 28600 | 32700 | 36800 | 40850 | 44150 | 47400 | 50700 | 53950 |
| | LOW-INCOME | 45750 | 52300 | 58850 | 65350 | 70600 | 75850 | 81050 | 86300 |